	FAESCABS							
1 2	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK							
3	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,							
4	V.	15 CR 680 (JMF)						
5	CARLTON P. CABOT,							
6	Defendant. x  New York, N.Y. October 14, 2015							
7	x							
8		October 14, 2015						
9		3:30 p.m.						
10	Before:							
11 12	HON. JESSE M.	FURMAN,						
13		District Judge						
14	APPEARANC	T C						
15		.10						
16	PREET BHARARA  United States Attorney for the Southern District of New York							
17	CHRISTIAN EVERDELL EDWARD IMPERATORE Assistant United States Attorn	O.V.						
18	DEVERAUX L. CANNICK	ey						
19	Attorneys for Defendant							
20								
21								
22								
23								
24								
25								

(Case called)

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$  EVERDELL: Christian Everdell and Edward Imperatore for the government.

MR. IMPERATORE: Good afternoon, your Honor.

THE COURT: Good afternoon, both of you.

MR. CANNICK: Good afternoon, your Honor. Deveraux Cannick for Mr. Cabot.

THE COURT: Good afternoon, Mr. Cannick, and good afternoon, Mr. Cabot.

All right. Mr. Cabot, my name is Jessie Furman. I'm an United States District Judge here in the Southern District of New York. I am assigned to your case, which means that I will preside over it up to and including trial, if it comes to that, and if it comes to sentencing, then I would be the judge who would impose sentence.

The purpose of today's proceeding is to arraign you on the indictment S1 15 CR 680 and also to set a schedule for the proceedings for the filing of any motions and the like. I should note before I proceed, I just wanted to note, given the dates of some of the offenses in the indictment, that as Mr. Cannick certainly knows, I was an Assistant United States Attorney in the Southern District of New York until the spring of 2012, when I took the bench. I have no reason to believe that I have anything to do with any investigation of the crimes charged in the indictment, but I want to confirm that you have

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

1		no	reason	to	think	otherwise	as	well.		
---	--	----	--------	----	-------	-----------	----	-------	--	--

MR. EVERDELL: I have no reason to think so as well.

THE COURT: In light of that, I have no reason or basis to think I need to or should recuse myself from this matter. If you have any reason to think otherwise, Mr. Cannick can file a motion on that issue. Any motion on that issue

With that, let's proceed directly to the arraignment.

Mr. Cabot, I would ask you to please rise.

You have seen a copy of the indictment S1 15 CR 680 charging you with seven counts?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

would be due two weeks from today.

THE COURT: Have you read the indictment?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Have you discussed it with Mr. Cannick?

THE DEFENDANT: I haven't.

THE COURT: Would you like me to read it out loud, or do you waive its public reading?

THE DEFENDANT: I waive its public reading.

THE COURT: How do you plead at this time; guilty or not guilty?

THE DEFENDANT: Not guilty.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you. You may be seated.

Mr. Everdell, first tell me what status of the speedy trial clock is, please.

MR. EVERDELL: Your Honor, the grand jury returned the indictment last Wednesday, October 7. Time was not excluded to this conference date, so I believe is approximately seven days off the clock.

THE COURT: All right. Can you just summarize the nature of the charges and then talk to me about the status and nature of discovery, please?

MR. EVERDELL: Yes, your Honor. The charges stem essentially from the fraud scheme involving real estate investments called tenant in common, otherwise known as TIC investments. And as alleged in the indictment, the government alleges that the defendant was involved in a scheme to defraud investors in several TIC investments conspired by Cabot Investment Properties, a company run by the defendant.

There are also money laundering charges associated with that by movement of funds, so the first four counts of conspiracy to commit securities fraud and substantive securities fraud Counts Two, wire fraud for Count Three, conspiracy substantive wire fraud, Count Four, and then money laundering conspiracy and substantive counts for Five, Six, and Seven.

THE COURT: All right. What about discovery?

MR. EVERDELL: Discovery, your Honor, there are basically three areas of discovery. There are documents to be obtained by subpoena. Those are mostly bank records. Also,

records from the property managers who managed some of the properties involved in the TIC investments and some other documents that we have by subpoena.

I tried to get an estimate of the amount of documents that was. The problem was that I am working with different agencies, and each agency has certain portions of the documents. I haven't been able to collect them into one place together, so I don't know at this point how voluminous they are.

We did cut a number of companies in excess of, I don't know, 40 or so, 50 subpoenas in this case. There are a number of documents we obtained by subpoena. The second category are documents that we obtained from the victims of the fraud, the actual TIC investors who received documents and mailings and other correspondence from Cabot Investment Properties and the defendant, so they have turned over documents to our agents. That is a much smaller set of documents. Again, I can't put an estimate on the size yet because I haven't been able to coordinate with each of the agents that received those them.

Then there is a handful of paper documents, maybe three or four boxes worth. So I guess, in summary, your Honor, the subpoenaed documents are going to be — there is some volume there, not overly voluminous. The trick in producing them — and at the end of this, your Honor, I am going to request one month to produce discovery — the reason being is

that all three agents on my case are now unavailable. One is about to retire, one is on her honeymoon, and the other is on maternity leave. I need some time for them to come back before I can actually collect all of this. Once they're back, should be fairly soon — at least the one on her honeymoon — I should be able to collect them and produce them by a month's deadline.

THE COURT: Couple questions. First, Mr. Cannick, any post-arrest statements?

MR. EVERDELL: In terms of motion to suppress post-arrest statements here and also no search warrants conducted. In terms of motion practice, if that is the area where are you headed with the question, I don't see necessarily any motion to suppress here. It is really more on the lines of motions in limine, things before trial.

THE COURT: All right. I take it no recordings at issue as well, is that correct?

MR. EVERDELL: Your Honor, there are, now that you mention, I do believe we have some recordings of investor calls that were made during the time of the fraud scheme. I don't know how many of those we have because we got these a little after the time period of the fraud. I don't think they were all available. We do have some of those. They are not undercover recordings in that nature.

THE COURT: Meaning recordings obtained pursuant to subpoena as well?

MR. EVERDELL: Correct. Yes, your Honor.

month to produce that discovery. That is to say, I'll give you until November 13, with the understanding that to the extent that you can produce things on a rolling basis between now and then, it sounds like some of it is — I would assume some of it is already in your possession and you should do that. I'll give you a deadline of November 13.

I should also ask you, insofar is there are victims in this case, have those victims been notified of their rights under the Crime Victims' Rights Act?

MR. EVERDELL: Yes, your Honor. We have been coordinating with the office's victim witness coordinator and I have had the agent coordinate with her, with the known victims that we're aware of. I believe the necessary notifications have gone out.

THE COURT: I assume they will continue to be notified as required by the statute?

MR. EVERDELL: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Cannick, as you may know, my intention is to set a deadline for the filing of any defense pretrial motions, and then I will have you guys back after any such motion would be fully briefed, and I'll talk about that in a moment.

My question for you is, insofar as you'll have the

discovery no later than November 13, then you have a general description of what to expect. How much time would you like to consider and prepare and file any motions?

MR. CANNICK: Your Honor, in my conversation with the government prior to the court coming in, we discussed what the discovery situation would be like in terms of getting it in a month period and for us to at least take a general look and see exactly what is there and come back and apprise the court on December 14.

Having heard what the court's intention is in terms of trying to see whether or not there would be any motions that would be appropriate from listening to the government, I don't think there would be anything that would require us filing a motion. If there is a situation that changes, then from my review of discovery, certainly I would move as quickly as I possibly could in terms of getting a response or filing motions on Mr. Cabot's behalf. From what we discussed and what was represented in court by the government, I don't anticipate there would be any motions.

THE COURT: All right. Well, be that as it may, I will be inclined to follow my usual practice, to give you a deadline for any filing of any motions and set a pretrial conference for any motion that would be submitted. If you decide not to file any motions and want to expedite that conference, you can certainly submit a letter to that effect

and we can move everything up.

But given that, how much time would you like or when would you like that deadline set for, assuming it is a reasonable request?

MR. CANNICK: Sometime around the middle of January, your Honor.

THE COURT: I think that makes sense and avoids any potential for holidays to extend or disrupt the briefing schedule. I will give you until January 15 to file any defense motions. Any opposition to such a motion would be due on January 29, and any reply would then be due on February 5.

I'll then have you back on February 9 at 3:30 in the afternoon at which time, if any motions have been filed, you should be prepared to address them. Because if I can address them from the bench, I may well do so.

It sounds like this is unlikely, but if there is a need for a hearing, then we will schedule the hearing that time and if not before. In either case okay, whether there are motions filed or not, I will be setting a trial date at that conference. As you may know, when I set a trial date, it is a firm date. In advance of the conference, counsel should confer with respect to when you would want to have a trial. To the extent that I can honor your request, I will make every effort to do that.

MR. CANNICK: Your Honor, could we go into the

following week in February for the return date? I will be out of the country on the February 9 date.

THE COURT: Unfortunately I am out the following week myself. Why don't I have you back then on Tuesday,

February 23. Does that work?

MR. CANNICK: That works, your Honor, for me.

THE COURT: Tuesday, February 23, at 3:30 as well.

Same instructions and guidance with respect to that conference applies.

I should also note that, Mr. Cannick, if you do not or decide that there are no motions to be filed and you want to expedite the proceedings, that is to say move the conference up, then you should just submit a letter to that effect, and I'm happy to move things up to sometime in January or even December, if you're in a position to tell me by then.

Mr. Everdell, any application with respect the Speedy Trial Act?

MR. EVERDELL: Yes, your Honor. We request that the time until the next conference date of February 23 be excluded under the speedy trial clock to give the defense a chance to review discovery and to discuss any possible disposition that might exist.

THE COURT: Any objections?

MR. CANNICK: None, your Honor.

THE COURT: I will exclude time between today and

February 23, 2016, when I find that the ends of justice served by excluding that time outweigh the interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial in view of the voluminous nature of the discovery in this case and to allow the defendant and defense counsel time to review that discovery, consider whether there are any motions to be filed, and to prepare those motions.

Anything else, Mr. Everdell?

MR. EVERDELL: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Cannick.

MR. CANNICK: I think we have something else, your Honor. It might be an exparte application.

THE COURT: All right. You know how to find me. We are adjourned then. Thank you very much.

MR. CANNICK: Your Honor, if the court pleases, we could make the application orally.

MR. EVERDELL: We are happy to depart, your Honor.

THE COURT: I will note that somebody else is in the courtroom. Is that an issue?

MR. CANNICK: That's not an issue.

THE COURT: If you have no objection, Mr. Everdell?

MR. EVERDELL: No objection, your Honor.

THE COURT: I will stay on the bench then. Have a pleasant afternoon.

(Pages 12-15 SEALED by order of the Court)